## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

: DODEDT D DAVIC .

ROBERT B. DAVIS, : Civil No. 09-4124 (RMB)

Petitioner, :

v. : ORDER

PAUL SCHULTZ,

Respondent.

FOR THE REASONS expressed in the Opinion filed herewith, IT IS on this 19th day of March 2010,

ORDERED that Claims One and Two in the initial Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, alleging biased treatment and denial of a constitutional right to grievance, are DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE, for lack of subject matter jurisdiction at this time; and it is further

ORDERED that Claims One and Two, as asserted by Petitioner in his initial Petition, shall be severed and treated as a civil complaint for relief under <u>Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics</u>, 403 U.S. 388 (1971)(the "<u>Bivens</u> action"); and it is further

ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall assign the <u>Bivens</u> action a separate docket number; and it is further

ORDERED that, in connection with the <u>Bivens</u> action, the Clerk of the Court shall file in that action a copy of the

Petition, this Order, and the Opinion filed herewith; and it is further

ORDERED that, in connection with the <u>Bivens</u> action, that the Clerk of the Court shall administratively terminate the <u>Bivens</u> case due to Plaintiff's failure to submit a complete application to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u> or pay the \$350.00 filing fee, without filing the complaint or assessing a filing fee; Plaintiff is informed that administrative termination is not a "dismissal" for purposes of the statute of limitations, and that if the case is reopened, it is not subject to the statute of limitations time bar if it was originally filed timely, see <u>Houston v. Lack</u>, 487 U.S. 266 (1988)(prisoner mailbox rule); <u>McDowell v. Delaware</u>

State Police, 88 F.3d 188, 191 (3d Cir. 1996); see also Williams—Guice v. Board of Education, 45 F.3d 161, 163 (7th Cir. 1995); and it is further

ORDERED that, in connection with the <u>Bivens</u> action, the Clerk of the Court shall supply to Plaintiff a blank form

Application to Proceed <u>In Forma Pauperis</u>; and it is further

ORDERED that if Plaintiff wishes to reopen his <u>Bivens</u>
action, he shall so notify the Court, in writing addressed to the
Clerk of the Court, 402 East State Street, Trenton, New Jersey
08608, within 30 days of the date of entry of this Order;
Plaintiff's writing shall include either (1) a complete <u>in forma</u>
<u>pauperis</u> application, including an affidavit of indigence, **and**six-month prison account statement, signed and certified by an

authorized officer of the institution where he is confined, or (2) the \$350 filing fee; and it is further

ORDERED that, in connection with the <u>Bivens</u> action, upon receipt of a writing from Plaintiff stating that he wishes to reopen his <u>Bivens</u> case, and either a complete <u>in forma pauperis</u> application or filing fee within the time allotted by this Court, the Clerk of the Court will be directed to reopen the <u>Bivens</u> case; and it is further

ORDERED that with respect to the remaining habeas claim asserted by Petitioner, namely Claim Three of the initial Petition, and the Supplemental Petition (Docket entry no. 6), the Clerk of the Court shall serve copies of the Petition, the Supplemental Petition, this Order, and all other documents docketed in this matter upon Respondent by certified mail, return receipt requested, with all costs of service advanced by the United States; and it is further

ORDERED the Clerk shall forward, electronically or by regular mail, a copy of the Petition, the Supplemental Petition, and this Order to the Chief, Civil Division, United States
Attorney's Office, 970 Broad Street, Room 700, Newark, NJ 07102; and it is further

ORDERED that, in accordance with Rule 4 of the Rules

Governing Section 2254 Cases, applicable to § 2241 cases through

Rule 1(b), see 28 U.S.C. § 2254 Rules 1(b), 4, this Court has

screened the Petition for dismissal and determined that dismissal

of Claim Three and the Supplemental Petition without an answer and the record is not warranted; and it is further

ORDERED that, within <u>30</u> days of the date of the entry of this Order, Respondent shall electronically file an answer which responds to the allegations of the Petition and the Supplemental Petition paragraph by paragraph; and it is further

ORDERED that the answer shall state the statutory authority for Petitioner's detention, <u>see</u> 28 U.S.C. § 2243; and it is further

ORDERED that Respondent shall electronically file with the answer certified copies of the administrative record and all documents relating to Petitioner's claim; and it is further

ORDERED that, within 30 days of receipt of the answer,

Petitioner shall file and serve a reply to the answer; and it is

further

ORDERED that, within 7 days of Petitioner's release, be it on parole or otherwise, Respondent shall electronically file a written notice of the same with the Clerk; and it is finally

ORDERED that the Clerk shall serve this Order on Petitioner by regular mail.

s/Renée Marie Bumb RENÉE MARIE BUMB United States District Judge